# scientific reports

Check for updates

## **OPEN** The trophic niche of subterranean populations of Speleomantes italicus

Enrico Lunghi<sup>1,2,3,4,5</sup>, Fabio Cianferoni<sup>6,3</sup>, Claudia Corti<sup>3</sup>, Yahui Zhao<sup>1</sup>, Raoul Manenti<sup>7,8</sup>, Gentile Francesco Ficetola<sup>7,9</sup> & Giorgio Mancinelli<sup>10,11,12</sup>

The determination of a species trophic niche can clarify its functional role within a food web and how prey resources are used in relation with the spatial and temporal variability of environmental conditions. This information may result particularly useful for the implementation of conservation plans of endangered species having a cryptic behaviour or living in places difficult to be surveyed. Here we present the first long-term study on the trophic niche of the Italian cave salamander Speleomantes italicus, a strictly protected facultative cave species that seasonally exploits surface environments (e.g., forested areas) as well as both natural and artificial subterranean environments. We analysed the diet variation of six populations of S. italicus inhabiting natural caves, surveyed 24 times in a full year. During the surveys, all sampled individuals were subjected to stomach flushing and the ingested prey were identified and enumerated; furthermore, salamanders' body condition was also evaluated. The results of the analyses provided the first comprehensive, year-round assessment of the diet for a Speleomantes species. Remarkable divergences in terms of trophic niche and body condition were observed between the studied populations. We found a discrepancy in the foraging activity of the populations located in different areas; specifically, the individuals that experienced sub-optimal microclimatic conditions poorly performed in foraging. Furthermore, we found temporal and spatial variability in the body condition of individuals. Our study highlighted a remarkably high spatial and temporal divergence in the trophic habits of conspecific populations, a feature that may represent one of the major factors promoting the variability of multiple population traits.

The trophic niche of a species can be defined as the n-dimensional hyper-volume representing the role in a food web of a particular species, and represents a key component of its ecological niche<sup>1</sup>. The study of the diet of a species, its trophic position in the ecosystem, and the ecology of its food resources are of pivotal importance to correctly understand species' ecology and to plan conservation strategies for those on the brink of extinction<sup>2-6</sup>. However, studying a species' diet may be challenging. Species may have complex foraging activities (e.g., using particular techniques or systematically switching to different foraging areas<sup>7,8</sup>) or be characterized by a high temporal variability in their diet composition<sup>9,10</sup>; therefore dietary data with a low spatial and temporal resolution may not be sufficient to obtain reliable information<sup>11,12</sup>. Indeed, species diet is often studied only over short periods<sup>13-15</sup>, seldom including observations replicated over different seasons<sup>16-18</sup>. Only rarely surveys involve a systematic and regular collection data over long periods (e.g., 19-22). If the trophic niche of species changes through time, for instance because of differences in requirements or in food availability, sporadic observations would hamper a correct assessment of the trophic role of a species. In some circumstances the cryptic behaviour of the

<sup>1</sup>Key Laboratory of the Zoological Systematics and Evolution, Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China. <sup>2</sup>Dipartimento di Medicina clinica, sanità pubblica, scienze della vita e dell'ambiente (MESVA), University of L'Aquila, Coppito, L'Aquila, Italy. <sup>3</sup>Zoologia, "La Specola", Museo di Storia Naurale, Università degli Studi di Firenze, Florence, Italy. <sup>4</sup>Natural Oasis, Prato, Italy. <sup>5</sup>Unione Speleologica Calenzano, Calenzano, Florence, Italy. <sup>6</sup>Istituto di Ricerca Sugli Ecosistemi Terrestri (IRET), Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche (CNR), Sesto Fiorentino, Florence, Italy. <sup>7</sup>Dipartimento di Scienze e Politiche Ambientali, Università degli Studi di Milano, Milano, Italy. <sup>8</sup>Laboratorio di Biologia Sotterranea "Enrico Pezzoli", Parco Regionale del Monte Barro, Galbiate, Italy. <sup>9</sup>Laboratoire d'Écologie Alpine (LECA), Université Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, Grenoble, France. <sup>10</sup>Dipartimento di Scienze e Tecnologie Biologiche ed Ambientali (DiSTeBA), Università del Salento, Lecce, Italy. <sup>11</sup>Istituto per le Risorse Biologiche e le Biotecnologie Marine (IRBIM), Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche (CNR), Lesina, Foggia, Italy. 12CoNISMa, Consorzio Nazionale Interuniversitario per le Scienze del Mare, Roma, Italy. 🖾 email: enrico.arti @ gmail.com; zhaoyh@ioz.ac.cn

Population	Latitude	Longitude	Elevation	Municipality	Total captured individuals	Max density	Total recognized prey
S_italicus2	43.92	11.16	699	Prato	115	5.29	961
S_italicus3	43.92	11.16	715	Prato	190	0.31	2157
S_italicus4	43.98	11.16	492	Vaiano	133	0.37	644
S_italicus5	44	10.82	948	Pistoia	6	0.1	73
S_italicus6	44	10.82	853	Pistoia	9	0.15	54
S_italicus7	44	10.82	850	Pistoia	42	0.41	288

**Table 1.** Summary of the data related to the studied cave populations (retrieved from<sup>74</sup>). For each population we show: the population code (following<sup>83</sup>); latitude, longitude and elevation (m a.s.l.) of the cave entrance; Municipality (where the cave is located); the total number of captured individuals (excluding recaptures); the maximum density of salamanders (individuals/m<sup>2</sup>; calculated considering the maximum captured individuals and the surveyed area); the total number of recognized prey through the whole study (i.e., including recaptures).

species<sup>23,24</sup> or the difficulties in accessing the environment in which the species live<sup>25,26</sup> may represent further limitations. In these cases, well-designed non-lethal methods can help filling these gaps without compromising the survival of the examined individuals<sup>27,28</sup>.

Here we focused on the diet of salamanders belonging to the genus *Speleomantes* (also referred as *Hydromantes*; see for discussion<sup>29</sup>). Commonly known as European cave salamanders, they are the only plethodontids occurring in Europe<sup>30</sup>; all the species are strictly protected by national and international laws<sup>31,32</sup>. Five of the eight species of *Speleomantes* (*S. flavus*, *S. supramontis*, *S. imperialis*, *S. sarrabusensis*, *S. genei*) are endemic to Sardinia (Italy), while the other three (*S. strinatii*, *S. ambrosii*, *S. italicus*) are distributed along the Apennines and Maritime Alps in continental Italy; one (*S. strinatii*) extends from north-western Italy over a small area of French Provence<sup>30</sup>. These salamanders are facultative cave species, as they choose to live in specific subterranean environments that represent a safe refuge both from unsuitable climatic conditions and from potential predators<sup>33,34</sup>, but feed inside as well as outside of the caves<sup>35,36</sup>. Cave salamanders usually exploit small cracks to maintain homeostasis and avoid potential disturbances<sup>37–39</sup>, but these environments often have difficult accessibility, and this makes the assessment of their biological and ecological characteristics challenging<sup>27,40</sup>. *Speleomantes* are generalist predators that prey on a large number of subterranean and epigean species<sup>41,42</sup>. The direct observation of their predation activity is arduous as salamanders mostly feed in the dark, hidden under logs or stones, and because of the very high speed with which they capture prey with their tongue<sup>43,44</sup>.

Long-term studies on species trophic niche are very scarce across animal species, but still very important to properly understand species requirements. The trophic niche of Speleomantes has been studied only through single surveys carried out in spring and/or autumn, periods characterized by particularly suitable climatic conditions allowing them to forage outside subterranean environments<sup>35,36,45,46</sup>. Despite Speleomantes show strong seasonal variation in habitat use<sup>47</sup>, no studies on the Speleomantes' diet have covered the variation that could occur over a full year, leaving many aspects of their trophic habits unknown. Using as a model six subterranean populations of S. italicus (Table 1, Fig. 1), we aimed to test the following hypotheses: (i) do Speleomantes forage throughout the year or only when climatic conditions allow to exploit epigeous environments? It is not known if Speleomantes remain completely inactive when environmental conditions exceed their tolerance limits (e.g., in summer and winter)<sup>37,48</sup> or if they can forage all year round thanks to the stable microclimate found in subterranean environments<sup>47</sup>. These salamanders exploit subterranean environments mainly to avoid unsuitable climatic conditions<sup>49</sup>, and during the harsh seasons (summer and winter) they move to deeper cave areas where the microclimate remains suitable, but the availability of prey is scarce<sup>36,47,50</sup>. (ii) If Speleomantes forage through the whole year, does their diet change accordingly? A recent study suggested that Speleomantes' diet may change between spring and summer, mainly because of the seasonal variation of ecological opportunities<sup>16,51</sup>; however, without information on their yearly trophic activity is it not possible to distinguish between actual changes of the diet, and potential effect of stochastic factors. (iii) Does body condition change across seasons? Considering the potential variation in the abundance and diversity of prey consumed during the different seasons<sup>16</sup>, one can expect a variation in the body condition of salamanders throughout the year related to the intensity of the foraging activity of the salamanders which, in turn, depends on climatic conditions<sup>36</sup>. Furthermore, strong interindividual competition for access to the most profitable resources can occur in high-density populations<sup>52,53</sup> and this can negatively affect the overall body condition of the population<sup>36,54</sup>.

#### Results

We detected salamanders in every month except January; the highest number of active individuals was observed in May, the month in which we collected the largest number of prey consumed (Table 2). The estimated maximum density widely diverged between the studied populations, being the highest in S\_italicus2 and the lowest in S\_italicus5 (Table 1). Although the overall diet of *S. italicus* populations included 31 different prey categories, salamanders mostly fed on flies (Diptera; 69.83%), adult beetles (Coleoptera; 14.84%, of which 2.24% Staphylinidae) and spiders (Araneae; 2.94%), with a marginal contribution (12.39%) from the other 27 groups (Table 2). Detailed monthly information on captured salamanders and prey consumed is shown in Table 2.



**Figure 1.** The map of the study area indicating the location of the studied caves. Three caves were located in the municipality of Pistoia (red circles; S\_italicus5, S\_italicus6, S\_italicus7), 1 in the municipality of Vaiano (yellow circle; S\_italicus4) and 2 in the municipality of Prato (grey circles; S\_italicus2, S\_italicus3). No detailed information on sampled locations are provided to ensure species protection<sup>84</sup>. In the bottom-left corner an individual of *Speleomantes italicus* (the image is taken from the dataset published by Lunghi et al.<sup>83</sup>, as well as the populations code). Map was built using the free software QGis v. 3.8.3 (https://qgis.org/en/site/).

The frequency of empty stomachs was significantly different between the populations (Post-hoc comparison test;  $\chi = 76.31$ , df = 7, P < 0.001) and between months ( $\chi = 89.75$ , df = 12, P < 0.001). Empty stomachs were less frequent in May and June.

The number of prey items consumed was significantly different between populations ( $F_{5,798.92}$  = 14.68, P < 0.001) and between months ( $F_{11,893.51}$  = 7.16, P < 0.001), with a significant interaction between month and population ( $F_{32,891}$  = 3.09, P < 0.001). Individuals from the population S\_italicus5 generally consumed more prey items than those from other populations (Table 2), while the largest number of consumed prey was generally observed in May, June, October and December (Fig. 4A). Individuals from population S\_italicus4 significantly consumed less prey in May, October and December, while those from S\_italicus6 significantly consumed less prey in May and September.

The diversity of prey items consumed (Shannon index) was significantly different between populations ( $F_{5,570.53} = 6.21$ , P < 0.001) and months of the year ( $F_{10,600.63} = 10.05$ , P < 0.001), and was also significantly affected by the interaction between these two factors ( $F_{31,595.09} = 2.52$ , P < 0.001). The diversity of prey consumed was generally lower in May, June and July (Fig. 4A), while individuals form S\_italicus6 population showed the highest (Table 2). In November, individuals of S\_italicus3 and S\_italicus7 showed significantly higher prey diversity, while S\_italicus6 showed the lowest in September and May, respectively.

The non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) performed on the stomach content of salamanders highlighted a remarkable difference in the temporal pattern of trophic niche variation among the studied populations (Fig. 2). The PERMANOVA analysis supported this result: we detected a significant effect of both population and month of survey, and a strongly significant interaction between these two factors (Table 3). Pairwise comparisons further indicated that niche differences between populations were particularly strong in spring (May, June) and autumn (September, October; Fig. 3). Individual identity explained a limited amount of variation, indicating that our results are not affected by potential biases due to individual resampling (Table 3).

The body condition index (BCI) of salamanders was significantly affected by sex ( $F_{2,67.88} = 3.93$ , P = 0.02), population identity ( $F_{5,547.78} = 10.63$ , P < 0.001), by the number of consumed prey ( $F_{1,485.51} = 23.86$ , P < 0.001), month ( $F_{11,586.55} = 3.59$ , P < 0.001), and by the interaction between population and month ( $F_{32,526.33} = 3.23$ , P < 0.001). BCI was lower in juveniles, while was higher in individuals that consumed more prey. The body condition of salamanders was generally higher in May, June, July and December (Fig. 4B). Individuals of the S\_italicus4 population generally showed the lowest BCI, which was significantly lower during eight months (May, June, July, August, September, October, November, December) compared to the remaining months, while S\_italicus7 individuals showed the highest BCI in November.

#### Discussion

Our study provided the first evidence of year-round foraging activity in *Speleomantes italicus*. *Speleomantes* salamanders are particularly active during spring and autumn, when thermally mild and rainy conditions allow them to leave their shelters to forage also in surface environments<sup>36,55</sup>; for this reason, studies on their diet have mainly focused on these two seasons<sup>41,42,56</sup>. The microclimate of the deeper areas of caves, mines and other types of subterranean environments is less influenced by the external climate, as throughout the year there are constantly high humidity levels and relatively cold temperatures<sup>47,57</sup>. Ultimately, they represent a safe refuge for *Speleomantes* along with a multitude of other cave-dwelling species<sup>50,58,59</sup>. Most invertebrates found in subterranean environments are included in the *Speleomantes* diet<sup>41,42,60</sup>, which means that these salamanders

Nindia:     0     3     2     8     52     20     8     6     17     37     2       Nprey     2     5     21     395     121     23     15     67     237     31       Major contribu- fors     Image: Simple s	ine July August September October November December	July	June	May	April	March	February	January		Population
	8 6 17 37 2 3	8	20	52	8	2	3	0	N indi- viduals	S_italicus2
Major contribu- tors     Lim     Diptera (96%)     Diptera (100%)     Diptera (77%)     Diptera (97%)     Diptera (100%)     Diptera (88%)     Colcopter (58%)     Colcoptera (58%)     Colcoptera (59%)     Colcoptera (14%)       S_italicus     I     I	21 23 15 67 237 31 21	23	121	395	21	5	25		N prey	
$ S_{\text{introdec}} = \left[ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	iptera Diptera (100%) Diptera (58%) Coleoptera (65%) Coleoptera Staphylini- (95%) (95%)	Diptera (100%)	Diptera (97%)	Diptera (77%)	Dipera (52%)	Diptera (100%)	Diptera (96%)		Major contribu- tors	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Coleoptera (20%) Diptera (37%)			Coleoptera (22%)	Coleop- tera_larva (10%)					
Image: series of the					Araneae (10%)					
$ S_{italicus3} \left[ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					Isopoda (10%)					
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	7 14 2 30 45 11	14	57	93	11	4	6	0	N indi- viduals	
Major contribu- torsPoly- desmida (45%)Diptera (36%)Diptera (46%)Diptera (80%)Diptera (80%)Diptera (81%)Diptera (100%)Diptera (77%)Diptera (50%)Coleop- tera_ Staphylini- dae (21%)S_italicus3Diptera (28%)Diptera (28%)Coleop- tera_ (28%)Coleop- tera_ (11%)Coleoptera (11%)Diptera (80%)Diptera (81%)Diptera (100%)Diptera (77%)Diptera (50%)Coleoptera (50%)Symph- plena (22%)Image: S_italicus3Diptera (28%)Diptera (28%)Coleop- tera_ (28%)Coleoptera (11%)Coleoptera (11%)Diptera (80%)Diptera (81%)Diptera (81%)Diptera (10%)Diptera (12%)Coleoptera (22%)Symph- plena (14%)Image: S_italicus3Diptera (28%)Diptera (28%)Coleop- tera_ (18%)Coleoptera (11%)Coleoptera (11%)Coleoptera (11%)Diptera (11%)Diptera (11%)Diptera (10%)Coleoptera (12%)Coleoptera (22%)Symph- plena (14%)Image: S_italicus3Diptera (28%)Diptera (12%)Coleoptera (12%)Coleoptera (22%)Symph- plena (14%)Image: S_italicus3Diptera (28%)Coleoptera (12%)Coleoptera (12%)Coleoptera (22%)Symph- plena (14%)Image: S_italicus3Diptera (12%)Coleoptera (12%)Coleoptera (12%)Coleoptera (12%)Coleoptera (12%)Coleoptera <td>21     62     8     175     317     112     5</td> <td>62</td> <td>521</td> <td>872</td> <td>41</td> <td>11</td> <td>29</td> <td></td> <td>N prey</td> <td></td>	21     62     8     175     317     112     5	62	521	872	41	11	29		N prey	
S_italicus3   Diptera (28%)   Diptera (28%)   Coleopt- tera_ Staphylini- dae (12%)   Coleoptera (11%)   Coleoptera (12%)   Coleoptera (22%)   Symphy- pleona 	iptera Diptera (81%) Diptera (100%) Diptera (77%) Diptera (50%) Coleop- 	Diptera 	Diptera (87%)	Diptera (80%)	Diptera (46%)	Diptera (36%)	Poly- desmida (45%)		Major contribu- tors	S_italicus3
Image: Normalized problem     Im	Coleoptera (12%) Coleoptera (22%) Symphy- pleona (14%) Symphy- pleona (20%)			Coleoptera (11%)	Coleop- tera_ Staphylini- dae (12%)		Diptera (28%)			
Image: Normation of the second seco	Poly- desmida (10%) (20%)									
Nindi- viduale     0     0     4     7     59     35     20     12     9     9     3	Entomo- bryomor- pha (10%) pha (20%)									
Nindi- viduale 0 0 4 7 59 35 20 12 9 9 3	Diptera (10%)									
Viuuais	5     20     12     9     9     3     1	20	35	59	7	4	0	0	N indi- viduals	S_italicus4
N prey 20 20 247 185 60 22 10 51 27	35     60     22     10     51     27     2	60	185	247	20	20			N prey	
Major contribu- torsDiptera (90%)Diptera (50%)Diptera (96%)Diptera (99%)Diptera (98%)Diptera (95%)Diptera (80%)Hymenop- tera_For- micidae (20%)Diptera (10°- tera_larva (30%)	iptera Diptera (98%) Diptera (95%) Diptera (80%) Hymenop- tera_For- micidae (20%) Dip- tera_larva (30%) Araneae	Diptera (98%)	Diptera (99%)	Diptera (96%)	Diptera (50%)	Diptera (90%)			Major contribu- tors	
S_traincus4 Orthoptera (10%) Araneae (25%) Araneae (25%) Araneae (10%) Araneae (10%) Araneae (10%) Araneae (18%) Symphy- picona (22%)	Araneae (10%)Araneae (18%)Symphy- pleona (22%)Diptera (50%)				Araneae (25%)	Orthoptera (10%)				
Coleoptera (10%) Logoda Diptera (10%) Diptera (10%) Coleoptera	Isopoda Diptera Orthoptera (10%) (10%) (15%)				Coleoptera (10%)					
Diptera (11%)	Diptera (11%)									
Nindi- viduals     0     0     0     0     5     0     0     0     1     0	0 0 0 1 0 0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	N indi- viduals	S_italicus5
N prey 56 17	17			56					N prey	
S_italicus5 Major contribu- tors Diptera (70%) Diptera (70%) Hymenop- tera (82%)	Hymenop- tera (82%)			Diptera (70%)					Major contribu- tors	
Araneae (18%)	Araneae (18%)									

Population		January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December
S_italicus6	N indi- viduals	0	0	0	1	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	N prey				8	31					8		7
	Major contribu- tors				Diptera (38%)	Diptera (84%)					Araneae (25%)		Diptera (86%)
					Araneae (25%)						Polydes- mida (25%)		Dip- tera_larva (14%)
					Poly- desmida (13%)						Blattodea (13%)		
					Hemiptera (13%)						Hymenop- tera_For- micidae (13%)		
					Trichop- tera_larva (13%)						Coleoptera (13%)		
											Archae- ognatha (13%)		
S_italicus7	N indi- viduals	0	2	0	7	12	6	2	0	2	4	3	10
	N prey		14		22	80	33	8		2	13	58	58
	Major contribu- tors		Diptera (93%)		Diptera (55%)	Diptera (73%)	Diptera (97%)	Diptera (100%)		Diptera (100%)	Diptera (46%)	Symphy- pleona (26%)	Diptera (88%)
					Araneae (18%)						Araneae (23%)	Araneae (17%)	
					Coleoptera (14%)							Diptera (17%)	
												Dip- tera_larva (12%)	

**Table 2.** Summary of the monthly prey consumed by individuals from the six subterranean populations of *Speleomantes italicus*. The table shows the following monthly data for each populations: number of individuals undergoing stomach flushing, total number of prey recognized from stomach contents, the Major contributors to population diet (only prey categories which contribution is  $\geq$  10% are shown).



**Figure 2.** nMDS plot of temporal trajectories in dietary variations among the six populations of *Speleomantes italicus* under analysis; in the caption, the months when individuals were analysed are reported in parentheses.

Factor	df	SS	MS	Pseudo-F	P (perm)	P(MC)
Population (1)	2	8207.1	4103.5	3.1522	0.009	0.002
Month (2)	7	29,863	4266.1	3.3218	0.012	0.001
Individual (3)	491	6.29E+05	1280.4	0.96859	0.608	0.625
1×2**	16	40,392	2524.5	1.966	0.012	0.001
2×3**	68	87,235	1282.9	0.97049	0.571	0.612
Res	43	56,840	1321.9			
Total	636	1.17E+06				

**Table 3.** Summary of the results of a PERMANOVA analysis testing the effects of the factors "population" (fixed), "month" (fixed, repeated) and "individual" (random, nested within "population" on *Speleomantes italicus* dietary habits. In bold significant (P < 0.05) factors. \*\*Term with one or more empty cells.



**Figure 3.** PERMANOVA analysis testing the effects of the factors "population" (fixed), "month" (fixed, repeated) and "individual" (random, nested within "population") on *Speleomantes italicus* dietary habits: results of the pair-wise tests performed on the 46 possible combinations population/month. Noticeably, only16 were statistically significant (P<0.05), and occurred in the months May–June and September–October.

do not necessarily have to leave these environments to forage; Speleomantes are in fact able to locate their prey in complete darkness<sup>30</sup>. In our study, Speleomantes had prey in their stomach (albeit with varying frequency; Table 2) in all the months where we found them, giving evidence that they forage all year round. January, the only month in which we did not find active salamanders, is probably the hardest month in terms of prohibitive climatic conditions for both Speleomantes and their potential prey. The most consumed prey are Diptera (flies), flying insects that often occur with high abundance in the areas surrounding the cave entrance $^{50,59}$ ; in fact, this group represented about 70% of the overall diet of S. italicus (Table 2), and between 58 and 94% for the other species of Speleomantes<sup>41,42,61,62</sup>. During the warmer months the overall diversity of prey consumed by S. italicus decreased, favouring a higher proportion of Diptera (Table 2). Contextually, in this period also the number of consumed prey increased. When the external microclimate is too harsh (hot and dry), Speleomantes cannot leave their subterranean refuge<sup>47</sup>, while other surface species are moving underground to escape the unsuitable climate. This is, for example, the case of crane flies (Diptera), species that can reach very high densities when they move in subterranean environments<sup>59</sup>. These insects therefore become an easy target for Speleomantes, which can opportunistically prey upon a large number of prey without leaving the cave. This result is in agreement with previous analyses performed in summer and autumn, where a lower diversity of prev consumed (biased towards Diptera) was observed during the warmer period<sup>16</sup>.

Individuals from the population S\_italicus5 were those consuming the larger *per capita* number of prey. This population showed the lowest estimated abundance of individuals (0.1 salamander/m<sup>2</sup>), a characteristic that may reduce the intraspecific competition, with a positive effect on individuals' foraging success<sup>54,63</sup>. In this scenario, we would expect a better body condition of individuals from this population, and a corresponding lower body condition in the population S\_italicus2 which has an abundance 50 times higher (Table 1); however, our analyses did not confirm this hypothesis. Interestingly, individuals from the population S\_italicus5 were found in two months only, May and October (Table 2). Except for S\_italicus4, all the other five studied populations are characterized by comparable microclimatic conditions (Fig. 5), and therefore we would not expect a substantial



**Figure 4.** (A) Average number and the diversity (Shannon index) of consumed prey in the six populations of *Speleomantes italicus*. (B) Annual variation of body condition index (BCI) for the same populations. Numbers on x axis indicate the months; January is not included as no salamanders were captured.

variation in the foraging activity among them<sup>36,47</sup>. Additional factors not considered here may play an important role in affecting *Speleomantes* activity pattern. Consequently, the reasons behind the particular foraging activity observed in the population S\_italicus5 remain unknown and definitely deserve further investigations.

The biological activities of subterranean Speleomantes populations, including foraging and reproduction, are strictly dependent on the external environmental conditions that occur in the surrounding area<sup>36,64</sup>. A previous analysis of outdoor microclimatic variation in these populations showed a high variability in terms of air temperature and relative humidity<sup>47</sup> (see also Fig. 5), a condition that probably explains the divergence in foraging activity (i.e., number and diversity of prey consumed) observed in this study. For example, the population S\_italicus4 is the only one located at an altitude < 500 m a.s.l. (Table 1), where microclimatic conditions were the warmest and the driest (Fig. 5), and likely sub-optimal<sup>36</sup>. Unsuitable external microclimatic conditions probably reduce the foraging in outdoor environments of Speleomantes<sup>37,65</sup>, where the prey abundance and diversity are the highest<sup>36,50</sup>. Indeed, individuals from S\_italicus4 not only consumed less diverse and fewer prey (Table 2), but also showed the poorest body condition. Salamander body condition is strongly correlated with the quantity (and perhaps the quality) of the prey consumed<sup>66,67</sup>, therefore the lower foraging activity of the individuals of this population had an evident negative effect on their body condition<sup>36</sup>. Indeed, individuals from the population S\_italicus7 showed the most diverse diet in November (Table 2), as well as the best body condition index. The relationship between microclimatic conditions, diet and body conditions confirms that sub-optimal microclimate affects multiple parameters of salamander populations<sup>36</sup>, and highlights the complex linkages between different dimensions of a species' niche, such as trophic and climatic niche, explained here by the limited outdoor foraging in dry and warm environments.

The overall body condition of salamanders was highest before the periods when they strongly reduce their activity due to the highly unsuitable climatic conditions occurring in mid-summer and winter (Fig. 4B)<sup>30</sup>. Indeed, salamanders tend to consume as much prey as possible during the favourable seasons (i.e., spring and autumn), to withstand long periods of fasting in the deepest areas of caves, where prey is scarce but the microclimate is optimal<sup>36,47,50</sup>. However, the harsh climatic conditions occurring in summer and winter affect *Speleomantes* as well as their potential prey<sup>58</sup>; therefore, the temporal scarcity of prey may represent an additional factor promoting the reduced activity of these salamanders. Juveniles generally showed the lowest body condition. Young salamanders have physical constraints (i.e., limited mouth size) that force them to prey on smaller prey<sup>16</sup> which might contribute little to increasing the salamander's body mass. Furthermore, juveniles tend to accelerate their growth to reach sizes which allows them to become unsuitable target for some of their predators<sup>68,69</sup>. Consequently, most of the energy intake is devoted to increasing their size rather than their body mass<sup>36,70</sup>.



**Figure 5.** Microclimatic data recorded throughout a year nearby the entrance of the studied caves. Data on air temperature (**A**) and relative humidity (**B**) were monthly collected in a shaded area in the proximity (about 5 m) of the main entrance for each cave. Numbers on x axis indicate months. Data is retrieved from<sup>47</sup>.

#### Conclusions

With this study we have been able to answer some of the open questions related to the trophic niche of *Speleomantes*, for example whether they forage all year round, and how the foraging activity differ between conspecific populations. However, our results also paved the way for new further studies. First, *Speleomantes* are able to forage all year round and there is potential variability in feeding strategies among conspecific populations<sup>54,71</sup>. A more complete study on the annual diet of the different species is needed to understand the specific requirements of populations, and how diet variation affects populations dynamics<sup>36</sup>. Secondly, it may be interesting to evaluate whether the variation in *Speleomantes*' seasonal diet is due only to the abundance and availability of prey, or whether an individual preference component is also included, for example towards the most profitable prey<sup>72,73</sup>. Third, a comparison with more strictly epigean populations (i.e., those that not use caves as shelters) would highlight the pros and cons of choosing to live in subterranean environments<sup>57</sup>. Finally, the body condition of *Speleomantes* is not constant, but changes according to the foraging activity adopted by the individuals of the different populations. This is important information that must to be taken into account when performing ecological studies or when aiming to assess the conservation status of species.

#### Methods

**Dataset.** We analysed the dataset published by Lunghi et al.<sup>74</sup>. This dataset collects dietary information on 495 individuals of *Speleomantes italicus* from six subterranean populations (i.e., inhabiting natural caves) distributed in three municipalities (2 populations in Prato, 1 in Vaiano, and 3 in Pistoia) (Table 1, Fig. 1). Despite the proximity of some populations (<100 m straight line between two caves), the high site fidelity of this species allows them to be considered distinct populations, as confirmed by capture-mark-recapture studies<sup>74,75</sup>. These populations were surveyed inside the caves twice a month for a full year (May 2020–April 2021) during day-time (9 am–6 pm). Surveying multiple populations allows detecting potential inter-population variability in their trophic niche<sup>54,71</sup>. We estimated the maximum population density for each population as the ratio between

the overall captured individuals and the surveyed area (Table 1). During each survey the captured *Speleomantes* were placed in disinfected plastic boxes until the end of the capture sessions (i.e., when the operator reached the end of the explored area of caves). *Speleomantes* were then weighted using a digital scale, photographed along a reference card<sup>76</sup> and finally underwent the stomach flushing procedure, a non-lethal method that is widely adopted in studies on these protected species<sup>28,41</sup>. The identity of captured salamanders was assessed through the use of Visual Implant elastomers and natural dorsal pattern<sup>75,77</sup>. A total of 956 stomach flushing were performed (Table 2), with some salamanders sampled multiple times<sup>74</sup>. An interval of at least 10 days between two surveys ensured that recaptured individuals were not stomach-flushed too often. Prey items were recognised and counted following Lunghi et al.<sup>41</sup>; the dataset includes 31 different prey categories<sup>74</sup>.

**Data analysis.** We used Generalized Linear Mixed Models (GLMMs) to assess the potential effects of environmental and biological factors on multiple features related to the trophic niche of *Speleomantes*. We first evaluated the potential drivers of the frequencies of empty stomach using a binomial GLMM where the dependent variable was the stomach condition (empty/full), while the independent variables were the snout-vent length (SVL, log-transformed) of salamanders, sex (female, male, juvenile), month of survey and population identity. We added as a further independent variable the interaction between month and population, as each population occurs at different elevation (Table 1), a condition that likely affects population phenology<sup>36,47</sup>. Salamander identity was used as random factor. We run two further GLMMs to evaluate the potential effects of the previously considered independent variables on both the number and diversity of consumed prey. As dependent variable, in the first model we used the log-transformed number of prey consumed, while in the second we used the diversity of prey items (Shannon index). Independent and random variables remained the same.

The vegan package<sup>78</sup> was used in the R statistical environment (v. 4.1.2)<sup>79</sup> to compute a (log + 1) transformation of salamanders' dietary data and create a dissimilarity matrix using the Bray–Curtis dissimilarity index. To this end, the original dataset was reduced by eliminating individuals (*i*) with empty stomachs or having only unidentifiable prey in their stomachs; (*ii*) belonging to populations where < 3 individuals were observed within a month. The selection reduced the dataset to 637 stomach content records. The dataset included repeated observations, as some individuals were resampled both in the same month and in different months<sup>74</sup>. A nonmetric multidimensional scaling (nMDS) plot was created from the Bray–Curtis dissimilarity matrix and used to visualize the temporal patterns of dietary variation across the 6 populations. At this stage, our aim was to illustrate overall time-dependent variations among the populations, thus nMDS results were provided as monthpopulation centroids and no attempts were made to take into account repeated observations.

Variations in diet over time were compared among populations using a repeated measure permutational multivariate analyses of variance, PERMANOVA<sup>80</sup> (vegan function *adonis*, 9999 permutations of the Bray–Curtis distance matrix), with Population as a fixed factor (6 levels), sampling month as a fixed repeated factor (12 levels). Individuals were a random factor nested within population in order to take into account inter-individual differences. PERMANOVA pair-wise tests were further used to determine in each month inter-population differences in dietary habits.

Finally, we assessed whether individual body condition was also affected by the same variables, as populations from different environments may exhibit different activity periods and likely diverge in their peak of body condition<sup>36</sup>. The body condition index (BCI) chosen was the residual index, widely adopted to evaluate the body condition in salamanders<sup>81,82</sup>. Residuals (i.e., the difference between observed and expected body mass) were estimated according to a log–log relationship between the total length of salamanders and their weight. A GLMM was subsequently run using the salamanders BCI as dependent variable, while together with the independent variables used in previous GLMMs we added the number of consumed prey as an additional variable. Random variables remained the same as in the previous GLMMs.

#### Data availability

Data are already published and publicly available, with those items properly cited in this submission. The full dataset can be found here https://esajournals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/epdf/10.1002/ecy.3641.

Received: 28 February 2022; Accepted: 4 October 2022 Published online: 29 October 2022

#### References

- Hutchinson, G. E. Concluding remarks. Cold Spring Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol. 22, 415–427. https://doi.org/10.1101/sqb.1957.022. 01.039 (1957).
- Manenti, R., Melotto, A., Guillaume, O., Ficetola, G. F. & Lunghi, E. Switching from mesopredator to apex predator: How do responses vary in amphibians adapted to cave living?. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* 74, 126. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-020-02909-x (2020).
- 3. Pekár, S., García, L. F. & Viera, C. Behaviour and Ecology of Spiders (Springer, 2017).
- Nawrocki, B., Colborne, S. F., Yurkowski, D. J. & Fisk, A. T. Foraging ecology of Bowfin (*Amia calva*), in the Lake Huron-Erie Corridor of the Laurentian Great Lakes: Individual specialists in generalist populations. J. Great Lakes Res. 42, 1452–1460. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jglr.2016.08.002 (2016).
- Nifong, J. C. Living on the edge: Trophic ecology of Alligator mississippiensis (American alligator) with access to a shallow estuarine impoundment. Bull. Fla. Mus. Nat. Hist. 54, 13–49 (2016).
- Stuart, S. N. et al. Status and trends of amphibian declines and extinctions worldwide. Science 306, 1783–1786. https://doi.org/10. 1126/science.1103538 (2004).
- 7. Jaeger, A. *et al.* Age, sex, and breeding status shape a complex foraging pattern in an extremely long-lived seabird. *Ecology* **95**, 2324–2333 (2014).
- Salwiczek, L. H. et al. Adult cleaner wrasse outperform capuchin monkeys, chimpanzees and orang-utans in a complex foraging task derived from cleaner: Client reef fish cooperation. PLoS ONE 7, e49068. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0049068 (2012).

- Juáres, M. A., Santos, M., Mennucci, J. A., Coria, N. R. & Mariano-Jelicich, R. Diet composition and foraging habitats of Adélie and gentoo penguins in three different stages of their annual cycle. *Mar. Biol.* 163, 105. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-016-2886-y (2016).
- Rosenblatt, A. E. *et al.* Factors affecting individual foraging specialization and temporal diet stability across the range of a large "generalist" apex predator. *Oecologia* 178, 5–16. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-014-3201-6 (2015).
- Casper, R. M. et al. The influence of diet on foraging habitat models: A case study using nursing Antarctic fur seals. Ecography 33, 748–759. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0587.2009.06155.x (2010).
- Pagani-Núñez, E., Barnett, C. A., Gu, H. & Goodale, E. The need for new categorizations of dietary specialism incorporating spatio-temporal variability of individual diet specialization. J. Zool. 300, 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1111/jzo.12364 (2016).
- Quevedo, M., Svanbäck, R. & Eklöv, P. Intrapopulation niche partitioning in a generalist predator limits food web connectivity. Ecology 90, 2263–2274. https://doi.org/10.1890/07-1580.1 (2009).
- Ćirović, D., Penezić, A., Milenković, M. & Paunović, M. Winter diet composition of the Golden jackal (*Canis aureus* L. 1758) in Serbia. *Mamm. Biol.* 79, 132–137. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mambio.2013.11.003 (2014).
- Moser, C. F., de Avila, F. R., de Oliveira, M. & Tozetti, A. M. Diet composition and trophic niche overlap between two sympatric species of *Physalaemus* (Anura, Leptodactylidae, Leiuperinae) in a subtemperate forest of southern Brazil. *Herpeto. Notes* 10, 9–15 (2017).
- Lunghi, E. et al. What shapes the trophic niche of European plethodontid salamanders?. PLoS ONE 13, e0205672. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pone.0205672 (2018).
- Evangelista, C., Boiche, A., Lecerf, A. & Cucherousset, J. Ecological opportunities and intraspecific competition alter trophic niche specialization in an opportunistic stream predator. J. Anim. Ecol. 83, 1025–1034. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.12208 (2014).
- Cloyed, C. S. & Eason, P. K. Niche partitioning and the role of intraspecific niche variation in structuring a guild of generalist anurans. R. Soc. Open Sci. 4, 170060. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.170060 (2017).
- Dehnhard, N. et al. Is individual consistency in body mass and reproductive decisions linked to individual specialization in foraging behavior in a long-lived seabird?. Ecol. Evol. 6, 4488–4501. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.2213 (2016).
- Jirka, K. J. & Kraft, C. E. Diet niche width and individual specialization of Brook trout in Adirondack lakes. *Trans. Am. Fish Soc.* 146, 716–731. https://doi.org/10.1080/00028487.2017.1290680 (2017).
- Reading, C. & Jofré, G. Diet composition changes correlated with body size in the Smooth snake, *Coronella austriaca*, inhabiting lowland heath in southern England. *Amphib. Reptil.* 34, 463–470. https://doi.org/10.1163/15685381-00002899 (2013).
- Novak, T. et al. Niche partitioning in orbweaving spiders Meta menardi and Metellina merianae (Tetragnathidae). Acta Oecol. 36, 522–529. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actao.2010.07.005 (2010).
- Stamp, N. E. & Bowers, M. D. Presence of predatory wasps and stinkbugs alters foraging behavior of cryptic and non-cryptic caterpillars on plantain (*Plantago lanceolata*). Oncologic 95, 376–384 (1993).
- 24. Magnusson, W. E. & Lima, A. P. The ecology of a cryptic predator, *Paleosuchus tigonatus*, in a tropical rainforest. *J. Herpetol.* 25, 41–48 (1991).
- 25. Riesch, R., Tobler, M. & Plath, M. Extremophile Fishes Ecology, Evolution, and Physiology of Teleosts in Extreme Environments (Springer, 2015).
- 26. Horikoshi, K. Barophiles: Deep-sea microorganisms adapted to an extreme environment. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 1, 291-295 (1998).
- 27. Mammola, S. *et al.* Collecting eco-evolutionary data in the dark: Impediments to subterranean research and how to overcome them. *Ecol. Evol.* **11**, 5911–5926. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.7556 (2021).
- Crovetto, F., Romano, A. & Salvidio, S. Comparison of two non-lethal methods for dietary studies in terrestrial salamanders. Wildl. Res. 39, 266–270. https://doi.org/10.1071/WR11103 (2012).
- 29. Wake, D. B. The enigmatic history of the European. Asian and American plethodontid salamanders. *Amphib-reptile* **34**, 323–336 (2013).
- Lanza, B., Pastorelli, C., Laghi, P. & Cimmaruta, R. A review of systematics, taxonomy, genetics, biogeography and natural history of the genus Speleomantes Dubois, 1984 (Amphibia Caudata Plethodontidae). Atti Mus. Civ. Stor. Nat. Trieste 52, 5–135 (2006).
- Rondinini, C., Battistoni, A., Peronace, V. & Teofili, C. Lista Rossa IUCN dei Vertebrati Italiani (Comitato Italiano IUCN e Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare, 2013).
- 32. European Community. Council directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora. *J. Eur. Union* **206**(7), 1–44 (1992).
- Salvidio, S., Palumbi, G., Romano, A. & Costa, A. Safe caves and dangerous forests? Predation risk may contribute to salamander colonization of subterranean habitats. Sci. Nat. 104, 20. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00114-017-1443-y (2017).
- Lunghi, E., Manenti, R. & Ficetola, G. F. Do cave features affect underground habitat exploitation by non-troglobite species?. Acta Oecol. 55, 29–35. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actao.2013.11.003 (2014).
- Salvidio, S., Oneto, F., Ottonello, D., Costa, A. & Romano, A. Trophic specialization at the individual level in a terrestrial generalist salamander. *Can. J. Zool.* 93, 79–83. https://doi.org/10.1139/cjz-2014-0204 (2015).
- Lunghi, E. et al. Environmental suitability models predict population density, performance and body condition for microendemic salamanders. Sci. Rep. 8, 7527. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-25704-1 (2018).
- Ficetola, G. F. *et al.* Differences between microhabitat and broad-scale patterns of niche evolution in terrestrial salamanders. *Sci. Rep.* 8, 10575. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-28796-x (2018).
- Oneto, F., Ottonello, D., Pastorino, M. V. & Salvidio, S. in Scripta Herpetologica. Studies on Amphibians and Reptiles in honour of Benedetto Lanza (eds M. Capula & C. Corti) (Edizioni Belvedere, 2014).
- 39. Lunghi, E., Mascia, C., Mulargia, M. & Corti, C. Is the Sardinian grass snake (*Natrix natrix cetti*) an active hunter in underground environments?. *Spixiana* **41**, 160 (2018).
- Ficetola, G. F., Canedoli, C. & Stock, F. The Racovitzan impediment and the hidden biodiversity of unexplored environments. Conserv. Biol. 33, 214–216. https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.13179 (2019).
- Lunghi, E. et al. Field-recorded data on the diet of six species of European Hydromantes cave salamanders. Sci. Data 5, 180083. https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2018.83 (2018).
- Lunghi, E. et al. Updating salamander datasets with phenotypic and stomach content information for two mainland Speleomantes. Sci. Data 8, 150. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-021-00931-w (2021).
- Deban, S. M. & Dicke, U. Activation patterns of the tongue-projector muscle during feeding in the imperial cave salamander Hydromantes imperialis. J. Exp. Biol. 207, 2071–2081. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.00978 (2004).
- Deban, S. M., O'Reilly, J. C., Dicke, U. & van Leeuwen, J. L. Extremely high-power tongue projection in plethodontid salamanders. J. Exp. Biol. 210, 655–667. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.02664 (2007).
- 45. Vignoli, L., Caldera, F. & Bologna, M. A. Trophic niche of cave populations of *Speleomantes italicus*. J. Nat. Hist. 40, 1841–1850 (2006).
- Salvidio, S. et al. Consistency in trophic strategies between populations of the Sardinian endemic salamander Speleomantes imperialis. Anim. Biol. 67, 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1163/15707563-00002517 (2017).
- Lunghi, E., Manenti, R. & Ficetola, G. F. Seasonal variation in microhabitat of salamanders: Environmental variation or shift of habitat selection?. *PeerJ* 3, e1122. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.1122 (2015).
- Lunghi, E. *et al.* Thermal equilibrium and temperature differences among body regions in European plethodontid salamanders. J. Therm. Biol. 60, 79–85. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtherbio.2016.06.010 (2016).

- 49. Spotila, J. R. Role of temperature and water in the ecology of lungless salamanders. Ecol. Monogr. 42, 95-125 (1972).
- Manenti, R., Lunghi, E. & Ficetola, G. F. Distribution of spiders in cave twilight zone depends on microclimatic features and trophic supply. *Invertebr. Biol.* 134, 242–251. https://doi.org/10.1111/ivb.12092 (2015).
- Yurkowski, D. J. et al. Latitudinal variation in ecological opportunity and intraspecific competition indicates differences in niche variability and diet specialization of Arctic marine predators. Ecol. Evol. 6, 1666–1678. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.1980 (2016).
- Bolnick, D. I. et al. The ecology of individuals: Incidence and implications of individual specialization. Am. Nat. 161, 1–28. https:// doi.org/10.1086/343878 (2003).
- Araújo, M. S., Bolnick, D. L. & Layman, C. A. The ecological causes of individual specialisation. *Ecol. Lett.* 14, 948–958. https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01662.x (2011).
- Lunghi, E. et al. Same diet, different strategies: Variability of individual feeding habits across three populations of Ambrosi's cave salamander (*Hydromantes ambrosii*). Diversity 12, 180. https://doi.org/10.3390/d12050180 (2020).
- Costa, A., Crovetto, F. & Salvidio, S. European plethodontid salamanders on the forest floor: Local abundance is related to finescale environmental factors. *Herpetol. Conserv. Biol.* 11, 344–349 (2016).
- Salvidio, S., Romano, A., Oneto, F., Ottonello, D. & Michelon, R. Different season, different strategies: Feeding ecology of two syntopic forest-dwelling salamanders. Acta Oecol. 43, 42–50 (2012).
- 57. Culver, D. C. & Pipan, T. The Biology of Caves and Other Subterranean Habitats 2nd edn. (Oxford University Press, 2019).
- Lunghi, E., Manenti, R. & Ficetola, G. F. Cave features, seasonality and subterranean distribution of non-obligate cave dwellers. *PeerJ* 5, e3169. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.3169 (2017).
- Lunghi, E., Ficetola, G. F., Zhao, Y. & Manenti, R. Are the neglected Tipuloidea crane flies (Diptera) an important component for subterranean environments?. *Diversity* 12, 333. https://doi.org/10.3390/d12090333 (2020).
- Manenti, R. et al. The stenoendemic cave-dwelling planarians (Platyhelminthes, Tricladida) of the Italian Alps and Apennines: Conservation issues. J. Nat. Conserv. 45, 90–97. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnc.2018.08.001 (2018).
- 61. Lunghi, E. et al. Ecological observations on hybrid populations of European plethodontid salamanders, genus Speleomantes. Diversity 13, 285. https://doi.org/10.3390/d13070285 (2021).
- 62. Lunghi, E., Guillaume, O., Blaimont, P. & Manenti, R. The first ecological study on the oldest allochthonous population of European cave salamanders (*Hydromantes* sp.). Amphib-Reptile **39**, 113–119. https://doi.org/10.1163/15685381-00003137 (2018).
- Bolnick, D. I. *et al.* Ecological release from interspecific competition leads to decoupled changes in population and individual niche width. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 277, 1789–1797. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2010.0018 (2010).
- Lunghi, E. *et al.* Comparative reproductive biology of European cave salamanders (genus *Hydromantes*): Nesting selection and multiple annual breeding. *Salamandra* 54, 101–108 (2018).
- 65. Ficetola, G. F., Lunghi, E. & Manenti, R. Microhabitat analyses support relationships between niche breadth and range size when spatial autocorrelation is strong. *Ecography* **43**, 724–734. https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.04798 (2020).
- Lormée, H., Jouventin, P., Trouve, C. & Chastel, O. Sex-specific patterns in baseline corticosterone and body condition changes in breeding Red-footed Boobies Sula sula. Ibis 145, 212–219 (2003).
- Du Plessis, K. L., Martin, R. O., Hockey, P. A. R., Cunningham, S. J. & Ridley, A. R. The costs of keeping cool in a warming world: Implications of high temperatures for foraging, thermoregulation and body condition of an arid-zone bird. *Glob Change Biol* 18, 3063–3070. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2012.02778.x (2012).
- 68. Lunghi, E. & Corti, C. Predation of European cave salamanders (Speleomantes) by the spider Meta bourneti. Spixiana 44, 54 (2021).
- Lunghi, E. Doubling the lifespan of European plethodontid salamanders. *Ecology* 103, e03581. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.3581 (2022).
- Ficetola, G. F., Pennati, R. & Manenti, R. Spatial segregation among age classes in cave salamanders: Habitat selection or social interactions?. *Popul Ecol* 55, 217–226 (2013).
- Lunghi, E. *et al.* Interspecific and inter-population variation in individual diet specialization: Do environmental factors have a role?. *Ecology* 101, e03088. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.3088 (2020).
- Blamires, S. J. Plasticity in extended phenotypes: Orb web architectural responses to variations in prey parameters. J. Exp. Biol. 213, 3207–3212. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.045583 (2010).
- Costa, A. et al. Generalisation within specialization: Inter-individual diet variation in the only specialized salamander in the world. Sci. Rep. 5, 13260. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep13260 (2015).
- Lunghi, E. et al. Capture-mark-recapture data on the strictly protected Speleomantes italicus. Ecology 103, e3641. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/ecy.3641 (2022).
- Lunghi, E. & Bruni, G. Long-term reliability of visual implant elastomers in the Italian cave salamander (*Hydromantes italicus*). Salamandra 54, 283–286 (2018).
- Lunghi, E., Bacci, F. & Zhao, Y. How can we record reliable information on animal colouration in the wild?. *Diversity* 13, 356. https://doi.org/10.3390/d13080356 (2021).
- Lunghi, E. et al. On the stability of the dorsal pattern of European cave salamanders (genus Hydromantes). Herpetozoa 32, 249–253. https://doi.org/10.3897/herpetozoa.32.e39030 (2019).
- 78. Oksanen, J. et al. Vegan: Community Ecology Package. R package version 2.5-7. https://cran.r-project.org, https://github.com/vegan devs/vegan (2020).
- R Development Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, 2021) http://www.R-project.org/.
- Anderson, M. J. A new method for non-parametric multivariate analysis of variance. *Austral Ecol.* 26, 32–46. https://doi.org/10. 1111/j.1442-9993.2001.01070.pp.x (2001).
- Băncilă, R. I., Hartel, T. R. P., Smets, J. & Cogălniceanu, D. Comparing three body condition indices in amphibians: A case study of yellow-bellied toad Bombina variegata. *Amphib-Reptile* 31, 558–562. https://doi.org/10.1163/017353710X518405 (2010).
- Labocha, M. K., Schutz, H. & Hayes, J. P. Which body condition index is best?. Oikos 123, 111–119. https://doi.org/10.1111/j. 1600-0706.2013.00755.x (2014).
- Lunghi, E. et al. Photographic database of the European cave salamanders, genus Hydromantes. Sci. Data 7, 171. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41597-020-0513-8 (2020).
- Lunghi, E., Corti, C., Manenti, R. & Ficetola, G. F. Consider species specialism when publishing datasets. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* 3, 319. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-019-0803-8 (2019).

#### Acknowledgements

We thank Stefano Merilli for his support during data collection. The study was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC-31972868). Enrico Lunghi was supported by the Chinese Academy of Sciences President's International Fellowship Initiative for postdoctoral researchers. Fabio Cianferoni received a partial funding from the Ministry of University and Research of Italy (MUR), project FOE 2020-Capitale naturale e risorse per il futuro dell'Italia-Task Biodiversità. Data collection on wild *Speleomantes* has been authorized by the Italian Ministry of Environment (Ministero della Transizione Ecologica) with the PNM (9384/PNM of 12/05/2015 and 56097/T-A31 of 13/11/2017).

### Author contributions

E.L. conceived the study and drafted the manuscript; E.L. and G.M. analysed the data and prepared figures and tables; all authors reviewed the manuscript and accepted its final version.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

#### Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to E.L. or Y.Z.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022

### Terms and Conditions

Springer Nature journal content, brought to you courtesy of Springer Nature Customer Service Center GmbH ("Springer Nature").

Springer Nature supports a reasonable amount of sharing of research papers by authors, subscribers and authorised users ("Users"), for smallscale personal, non-commercial use provided that all copyright, trade and service marks and other proprietary notices are maintained. By accessing, sharing, receiving or otherwise using the Springer Nature journal content you agree to these terms of use ("Terms"). For these purposes, Springer Nature considers academic use (by researchers and students) to be non-commercial.

These Terms are supplementary and will apply in addition to any applicable website terms and conditions, a relevant site licence or a personal subscription. These Terms will prevail over any conflict or ambiguity with regards to the relevant terms, a site licence or a personal subscription (to the extent of the conflict or ambiguity only). For Creative Commons-licensed articles, the terms of the Creative Commons license used will apply.

We collect and use personal data to provide access to the Springer Nature journal content. We may also use these personal data internally within ResearchGate and Springer Nature and as agreed share it, in an anonymised way, for purposes of tracking, analysis and reporting. We will not otherwise disclose your personal data outside the ResearchGate or the Springer Nature group of companies unless we have your permission as detailed in the Privacy Policy.

While Users may use the Springer Nature journal content for small scale, personal non-commercial use, it is important to note that Users may not:

- 1. use such content for the purpose of providing other users with access on a regular or large scale basis or as a means to circumvent access control;
- 2. use such content where to do so would be considered a criminal or statutory offence in any jurisdiction, or gives rise to civil liability, or is otherwise unlawful;
- 3. falsely or misleadingly imply or suggest endorsement, approval, sponsorship, or association unless explicitly agreed to by Springer Nature in writing;
- 4. use bots or other automated methods to access the content or redirect messages
- 5. override any security feature or exclusionary protocol; or
- 6. share the content in order to create substitute for Springer Nature products or services or a systematic database of Springer Nature journal content.

In line with the restriction against commercial use, Springer Nature does not permit the creation of a product or service that creates revenue, royalties, rent or income from our content or its inclusion as part of a paid for service or for other commercial gain. Springer Nature journal content cannot be used for inter-library loans and librarians may not upload Springer Nature journal content on a large scale into their, or any other, institutional repository.

These terms of use are reviewed regularly and may be amended at any time. Springer Nature is not obligated to publish any information or content on this website and may remove it or features or functionality at our sole discretion, at any time with or without notice. Springer Nature may revoke this licence to you at any time and remove access to any copies of the Springer Nature journal content which have been saved.

To the fullest extent permitted by law, Springer Nature makes no warranties, representations or guarantees to Users, either express or implied with respect to the Springer nature journal content and all parties disclaim and waive any implied warranties or warranties imposed by law, including merchantability or fitness for any particular purpose.

Please note that these rights do not automatically extend to content, data or other material published by Springer Nature that may be licensed from third parties.

If you would like to use or distribute our Springer Nature journal content to a wider audience or on a regular basis or in any other manner not expressly permitted by these Terms, please contact Springer Nature at

onlineservice@springernature.com